## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: A8504

James L. KEESEY, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/690,313

Group Art Unit: 2626

Confirmation No.: 3435

Examiner: Qi HAN

Filed: October 17, 2000

For:

A TECHNIQUE FOR PROVIDING CONTINUOUS SPEECH RECOGNITION AS AN

ALTERNATE INPUT DEVICE TO LIMITED PROCESSING POWER DEVICES

## STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on May 28, 2008:

## REMARKS

During the interview, the following was discussed:

- 1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None
- 2. Identification of claims discussed: 1, 14, and 17
- 3. Identification of art discussed: D'Hoore et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,085,160)
- 4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: None

Brief Identification of principal arguments: During the interview, the Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed at length the feature "translating the voice data to text using a voice print", as recited in independent claims 1, 14, and 27. Specifically, Applicant submitted

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

U.S. Application No.: 09/690,313

Attorney Docket No.: A8504

that there is simply no teaching or suggestion in the combined references (and in particular,

D'hoore), that voice data is translated to text by using a voice print. Applicant further submitted

that there is no teaching or suggestion in the cited references for the feature "wherein the voice

print is retrieved from a datastore based on the device identifier", as recited in claims 1, 14, and

27 as amended in the Amendment filed on May 1, 2008. The Examiner indicated that he would

consider this aspect of the claim in the next Office Action.

5. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: None

6. Results of Interview: The Examiner will consider Applicant's arguments in the

next Office Action.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF

INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems

otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to

maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such

extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 59,043

/Mark E. Wallerson/

Mark E. Wallerson

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/142133

46159

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: July 2, 2008

2